

Department of Epidemiology
School of Public Health
University of North Carolina

EPID 160

Principles of Epidemiology

Fall, 1967

Final Laboratory Exercise Examination

GRADING GUIDE

Grade
Points Question 1

Cut-off Point (Blood Sugar 110+):

<u>Blood Sugar</u>	<u>Diabetes</u>	<u>Not Diabetes</u>	<u>Total</u>
110+	94	270	364
109 or less	6	730	736
TOTAL	100	1000	1100

$\frac{1}{2}$ Sensitivity: $\frac{94}{100} = 94\%$

$\frac{1}{2}$ Specificity: $\frac{730}{1000} = 73\%$

Cut-off Point (Blood Sugar 130+):

<u>Blood Sugar</u>	<u>Diabetes</u>	<u>Not Diabetes</u>	<u>Total</u>
130+	85	70	155
120 or less	15	930	945
TOTAL	100	1000	1100

$\frac{1}{2}$ Sensitivity: $\frac{85}{100} = 85\%$

$\frac{1}{2}$ Specificity: $\frac{930}{1000} = 93\%$

Advantages and Disadvantages:

- 2 110+ Few cases of diabetes will be missed (i.e. high sensitivity), but many cases will be referred for diagnostic work-up who will not have diabetes, thus burdening the diagnostic facilities and imposing upon the time of healthy individuals (i.e. relatively low specificity).
- 2 130+ More cases of diabetes will be missed, but fewer non-diabetics will be referred.

The objective of this question is to determine whether the students understand the implications of sensitivity and specificity in the context of a screening program.

Question 2

- $\frac{1}{2}$ Sex - Under 45: Male rate approximately equal to female rate for both races.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ 45+: Female rate exceeds male for both races.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Race: Non-whites have higher rates than whites at all ages and for both sexes.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Age: Rates increase with age for both sexes and both races.

Question 3

- 2 Non-whites have lower rates than whites at all ages above 25 - true for both sexes.

Question 4

- 2 Selection: Non-white diabetics not using hospital to the same extent as white.

Question 5

Inappropriate analysis: This analysis is based upon numerator data only (i.e. neither a population group nor alternatively a control group is presented).

6	<u>% Calories Derived From Refined Carbohydrate</u>	<u>Number in Population</u>	<u>Number of Diabetics</u>	<u>Diabetic Rate</u>
	10 - 14			
	15 - 19			
	etc.			

OR

<u>% Calories from Refined Carbohydrate</u>	<u>Number of Non-Diabetics (Controls)</u>	<u>Number of Diabetics</u>	<u>Proportion of Diabetic</u>
---	---	----------------------------	-------------------------------

Question 6

- 3 In Tables 5 and 6, both income level and place of residence are shown to be associated with diabetes prevalence rates. In Table 7, it is shown that income is associated with diabetes prevalence, but not place of residence.

Question 7

- 4 Thus the higher rates found in urban residents (in Table 6) must be due to the higher proportion of higher income people living in urban areas.

Question 8

Expected numbers:

	<u>Age</u>	<u>Expected Number of Diabetics</u>
4	45 - 54	$30/100 \times 10 = 3$
	55 - 64	$10/100 \times 150 = 1.5$
	65 - 74	$5/100 \times 40 = 6.5$

Expected Number of diabetics over 45 who would be active = 6.5

Question 9

- 3
- a. This was a prevalence (cross-sectional) study. It is therefore quite conceivable that diabetes antedated sedentary living rather than sedentary living increasing the risk of diabetes (i.e. diabetes became more sedentary following discovery of their diabetes).
 - b. A cohort approach (longitudinal, prospective) study is necessary. A group of active and sedentary men free of diabetes need to be followed to determine the occurrence of diabetes in each group.

Question 10

- 5 Doctors' data based upon incidence (new cases) survey based upon prevalence.

Incidence in low income groups as high as high income, but prevalence lower in low income. Therefore, higher case fatality rate in low income (selective survival).